



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,019	11/28/2000	Daniel L. Cox	ACS-53509	3548

24201 7590 06/20/2002

FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP  
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER  
6060 CENTER DRIVE  
TENTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

EXAMINER

JACKSON, SUZETTE JAMIE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3738

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/727,019

Applicant(s)

DANIEL L. COX

Examiner

Jackson J Suzette

Art Unit

3738

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3, 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 3738

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Munsinger 6,228,110 which discloses the invention as claimed comprising: mounting a stent upon an expandable member having a length greater than the length of the stent (col. 7, line 20); covering the stent and expandable member with a moveable sheath which is disposed in a co-axial arrangement over the stent and expandable member (col. 3, lines 61-67); advancing the stent and expandable member into the area of treatment in the body vessel; retracting the sheath to expose the mounted stent on the expandable member; inflating the expandable member to expand the stent within the body vessel; and deflating the expandable member (see col. 10, lines 19-25).

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musinger 6,228,110 in view of Roberts et al. 5,545,209. **Musinger** discloses a catheter including an elongated catheter shaft having a proximal end and distal end with an inner inflation lumen extending therein; an expandable member having a proximal end and a distal end, a length and a desired inflated diameter disposed near the distal end of the elongated catheter shaft which is in fluid communication with the inner inflation lumen, the expandable member being adapted to receive a stent for mounting thereon; a moveable sheath adapted to cover a portion of the stent during delivery and having an inner lumen with smaller diameter than the desired inflated diameter of the expandable member, however Musinger does not specify that the sheath is movable over a portion of the expandable member so that only a portion of the expandable member not covered by the sheath expands to a desired inflated diameter upon inflation.

**Roberts** teaches a catheter assemble system including a stent and sheath/constraint where in the sheath/constraint is capable of constraining the balloon so that it may be progressively incrementally inflated (see col. 2, lines 32-33 and col. Col. 9, lines 11-27 and Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the invention of Munsinger and incorporate the methods that only a portion of the

Art Unit: 3738

expandable member not covered by the sheath expands to a desired inflated diameter upon inflation incrementally as taught by Roberts because it would ensure proper deployment of full expansion of the stent within the vessel.

*Allowable Subject Matter*

4. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

*Conclusion*


5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Loeffler 5,891,154 and Borghi 6,051,001 show related material.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 703-308-6516.

7. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3580.

8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

  
S. Jackson  
14 June 2002

  
David H. Willse  
Primary Examiner